

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/846,846	PANASIK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Perilla	2638	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Jason M. Perilla.

(3) _____.

 (2) Ronald O. Neerings.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2005
Time: 11AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)